9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee 148/248 + \$110/\$55 + \$0 10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee under Rule 97 (c), add + \$180 126 + \$0 126 or if Rule 97(d) Request add + \$180 11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r) + \$740/370 + \$0 146/246 149/249 12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b)..... X \$740/370 ea + \$0 13. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) + \$740/370 + \$0 1179/1279

14. Petition fee for 15.

8.

TOTAL FEE =

PLEASE CHARGE **DEPOSIT ACCOUNT**

128/228

19 4 F

16. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0".

17. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space.

18. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

(Usable only for 30 day/1mo.OA - - - 5 mos)

.....

7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract

Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975)

(Our Order No. 60258

\$1,960/\$980=

- \$0

Extension Fee

+ \$0

+ \$0

CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown above, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

1600 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102

Tel: (703) 905-2000

Atty/Sec: CHM/JMS 30321686 1

By Atty: Christine H. McCarth Sig:

Pillsbury Winthrop LLP Intellectual Property/Group

Rea. No. 41.844

(703) 905-2500 Fax: Tel:

(703) 905-2143



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION OF

Confirmation No. 2423

PALVIAINEN

Group Art Unit: 2685

Appln. No.: 09/446,508

Examiner: Davis, T

Filed: December 27, 1999

Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Title: ROUTING OF CALL FORWARDING ACCORDING TO BASIC SERVICES

October 2, 2002

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

RECEIVED

OCT 0 4 2002

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 2, 2002, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of the present application in view of the following remarks. Claims 1-14 are pending, of which claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 and 11 are independent claims.

Claims 1-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Joong et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,134,433; hereafter "Joong"). The rejection is respectfully traversed because Joong fails to disclose, teach or suggest all the features recited in the rejected claims. For example, Joong fails to disclose, teach or suggest a method or equipment used for call forwarding via one of several alternative lines on the basis of subscriber data related to the call forwarding. Specifically, Joong does not disclose, teach or suggest implementing call routing to a forwarding number by selecting one of several alternative lines based on a basic service code, as recited in independent claims 1, 2, 4, 7 and 11. Joong also fails to disclose, teach or suggest a home location register connected to a first exchange in a mobile system, wherein the home location register is arranged to transmit a basic service code, which indicates necessary properties of the line which should be selected in routing a call, as recited in independent claim 5.